## Confidentiality agreements—part 2

## A crisis of confidence in confidentiality

by Josh Lewis, Fasken Martineau DuMoulin (Vancouver) with the assistance of Sarah Batut, student-at-law



Two recent Supreme Court of British Columbia decisions address the interplay of confidentiality and areas of interest clauses in mining-related agreements, and discuss the rela-

tionship between contractual confidentiality and common law confidentiality. These two decisions reach quite different conclusions and might make members of the mining industry run to review their outstanding agreements. In Novawest Resources Inv. v. Anglo American Exploration (Canada) Ltd. et al., 2006 BCSC 769, the parties, pursuant to a proposed transaction, entered into a confidentiality agreement containing a one-kilometre area of interest clause. Anglo later staked claims in the West Raglan Extension of the Cape Smith Belt in northern Quebec, three kilometres to 70 kilometres west of the area of interest. Novawest alleged the staking was based on confidential information provided to Anglo by Novawest and sought a constructive trust ordered in its favour over the staked area.

In the course of dismissing the case, the court looked at a confidentiality

agreement used by Novawest on a different project. In that agreement, Novawest had explicitly reserved an open area that it intended to stake as part of the 'area of interest.' This indicated to the that court Novawest understood the necessity of including in the area of interest those areas it wished to protect. Furthermore, in the agreement at issue, Anglo specifically reserved the opportunity to stake outside the area of interest.

The trial judge found that the confidentiality agreement supplanted any common law obligation of confidentiality that Anglo owed Novawest, relating to land outside the area of interest, and hence looked only to the agreement. On such basis, it decided that there was no breach of the confidentiality agreement and Anglo was permitted to stake claims outside of the area of interest, regardless of whether in fact any confidential information was actually provided to it.

Novawest did not appeal this trial court decision.

In Minera Aquiline Argentina SA v. IMA Exploration Inc. and Inversiones Mineras Argentinas S.A., 2006 BCSC 1102, the court looked at matters quite differently. Newmont Mining Corporation, through a subsidiary, Minera, was the owner of and inviting offers for an Argentinian mining property known as Calcatreu. IMA and Minera entered into a confidentiality agreement containing a two-kilometre area of interest clause. During a due diligence visit to Minera's offices, an IMA geologist noticed a map hanging on the wall at Newmont's offices that showed some stream sediment sampling results over a broad area including Chubat Province, located 40 kilometres from Calcatreu. IMA requested and was provided with this other data. IMA walked away from Calcatreu, but approximately four weeks later reviewed the other data and staked mineral claims in Chubat. Meanwhile, Aquiline acquired the shares of Minera from Newmont.

Aquiline sued IMA for breach of confidence alleging misuse of the other data by staking the Chubat claims. IMA argued that the other data was not covered by the confidentiality agreement because it did not relate to Calcatreu. The definition of "confidential information" as qualified by the words "concerning the Project" and "relating to the Project" was central to the issue



as to whether the other data was confidential information. The court held that all of the information provided by Minera to IMA in the context of its evaluation of Calcatreu, whether or not it concerned Calcatreau, was confidential information.

The trial judge held that the area of interest provision was an independent covenant or promise not to acquire any mineral claims within two kilometres of Calcatreu, and since it made no direct reference to the use of confidential information, did not apply to limit its use. IMA argued that any potential bidder on a project needs to know what is considered confidential information so as not to inadvertently breach a confidentiality agreement by carrying out its own exploration projects. The court ruled that the confidentiality agreement would not apply so long as the information used to make the decision to stake was developed

independently or was available as public information.

Having decided the issue on the basis of construction of the contractual confidentiality provision, it was unnecessary for the court to consider whether common law obligations of confidentiality applied, but nonetheless the court chose also to explore this perspective and applied the *Lac Minerals Ltd. v. International Corona Resources Ltd.* three-pronged test of "confidential, communicated in confidence and misused" and found all three were met.

Now for the real kicker: the Chubat claims are part of the elephant now known as Navidad. The court held that Aquiline must be compensated by being put back in the position it would have been in but for the breach of the confidentiality agreement, and considered damages an inadequate remedy because it could not predict future metal prices, inflation, or exchange rates, and as Navidad was not fully explored, no one knew its full potential. Accordingly, it was ordered that IMA was holding its Navidad claims in constructive trust for Aquiline, the rationale being that but for IMA staking the claims, Aquiline likely would have. IMA was ordered to transfer the claims to Aquiline, subject to payment for IMA's development costs to date.

IMA has filed a Notice of Appeal and clarification is eagerly awaited.

In the meantime, while these decisions are being digested by the industry and its advisors, the importance of carefully drafting and understanding confidentiality agreements and area of interest clauses has been emphasized and likewise the value, for evidentiary purposes, in maintaining complete and accurate records of all confidential information both received and supplied. ■



## Faculty Position in Hydrometallurgy

Applications are invited for a grant-funded, tenure-track faculty position in the Department of Materials Engineering at the University of British Columbia. The appointment will be made at the Assistant Professor or Associate Professor level, depending on qualifications and experience. Funds to support the position have been made available from sponsors of the Industrial Research Chair in Hydrometallurgy. The Chair is supported by 15 multinational companies with an interest in the broad field of hydrometallurgical research and development.

The successful candidate will be expected to hold a Ph.D. in Metallurgical, Materials or Chemical Engineering with a specialization in hydrometallurgy, extractive metallurgy or a closely related field. Candidates must be registered or be qualified to seek registration as a Professional Engineer in British Columbia.

The successful candidate will be expected to participate in undergraduate and graduate teaching and the supervision of graduate students, and to develop strong externally funded research programs. The successful candidate will also be expected to develop close ties with the sponsors of the Chair and to participate in the offering of short courses at sponsor premises. This will involve travel within Canada and overseas.

Further information on the department is available at **www.mtrl.ubc.ca** and information on the employment environment in the Faculty of Applied Science is available at **www.apsc.ubc.ca/careers**.

Applications should include a detailed curriculum vitae, a statement (1–2 pages) describing research and teaching interests, and the names and contact details of four referees. Applications must be submitted before March 31, 2007, and should be sent to:

Dr. Steve Cockcroft, Professor and Head

Department of Materials Engineering

University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C.

Canada, V6T 1Z4

e-mail: steve@apsc.ubc.ca

The position is available effective July 1, 2007 or as soon as possible thereafter, subject to final budgetary approval. The University of British Columbia hires on the basis of merit and is committed to employment equity. All qualified persons are encouraged to apply. However, priority will be given to Canadian citizens and permanent residents.