

Insolvency and Restructuring Bulletin

August 2007 Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

RRSPs and RRIFS in Bankruptcy: Policy and Practical Pitfalls in the Proposed Amendments

As discussed in *Exemptions of RRSPs and RRIFs in Bankruptcy: Bill C-62 Will Change the Changes Made by Bill C-55*, Bill C-62 (if passed and proclaimed in force) will affect RRSPs and RRIFs in two ways:

- 1. RRSPs and RRIFs that are based on annuities issued by life insurers and immune from creditor seizure under provincial laws will be beyond the reach of a bankruptcy trustee.
- 2. RRSPs and RRIFs that are not protected by provincial laws will be beyond a bankruptcy trustee's reach save for contributions made in the 12 months immediately preceding bankruptcy (the "clawback").

There are three notable scenarios in which the proposed treatment of RRSPs and RRIFs under Bill C-62 may raise questions.

Vancouver Calgary

Toronto

Ottawa

Montréal

Québec City

London

Johannesburg

New York

www.fasken.com

Scenario 1: Lump Sum Contributions

Imagine that "X" is a member of an employer-sponsored pension plan that is exempt from seizure under provincial laws (as most pension plans are) and the employer terminates the plan, entitling X to a commutation payment. Typically, such a lump sum will be paid directly into a locked-in RRSP for X. If the RRSP is

subject to the clawback, the full value of the commutation payment is exposed should X become bankrupt within 12 months.

Should such commutation payments be subject to the clawback? They often represent contributions, years of sometimes exclusively made by the employer and not funded from assets of the employee. If the decision to wind-up the plan is that of the employer, should X's retirement income be exposed that decision? because alternatives did X have? Should X have spent the commutation payment prior to bankruptcy, leaving his creditors in no different position than if the pension plan had not been wound up or commutation payment was used to purchase a locked-in RRSP from a life insurance company? By comparison, under Bill C-62 a non-arm's length "transfer at undervalue" (a transfer that prejudices the creditors), made within the same 12 month period, can only be challenged where the bankrupt was insolvent at the time (or was rendered insolvent thereby). No such condition would apply to the clawback and nothing in Bill C-62 confers discretion on a bankruptcy trustee or the courts to override the non-exempt status of contributions subject to the clawback.

Scenario 2: Third Party Contributions

Where Spouse1 makes contributions to a non-life insurance RRSP of Spouse2, and Spouse2 becomes bankrupt within 12 months, such contributions will be subject to the clawback. The clawback will also apply where an employer makes contributions to a non-life insurance RRSP for an employee as part of the employee's package of wages and benefits.

Nothing in Bill C-62 considers the source of the funds contributed to a bankrupt's RRSP. While gifts received from a spouse (or others) generally are counted among the assets of a bankrupt estate, should this be the case with government-sanctioned investment vehicles funded from assets that did not belong to the bankrupt? Should the clawback apply where an employer contributes to an employee RRSP not at the request of the employee but because it is part of a standard package of wages and benefits?

Scenario 3: Locked-in Contributions

Where contributions to a non-life insurance RRSP are locked-in, either because the plan is locked-in or because it contains long term instruments (e.g., GICs not redeemable before maturity), it is unclear how the bankruptcy trustee may be able to enforce the clawback.

Will the bankruptcy trustee be able to "unlock" (say) a fixed term deposit held in an RRSP in order to access the non-exempt portion of the RRSP under the clawback? Could the trustee do so partially, while the bank remains bound to honour the deposit terms with respect to the remaining, exempt portions of the RRSP? Could the trustee only require the bankrupt to assign to it the right to withdraw the non-exempt portion of the RRSP when permitted? Bill C-62 does not provide guidance on any potential issues concerning how a bankruptcy trustee could (or should) proceed.

Conclusion

It is to be hoped that the Senate Banking, Trade and Commerce Committee will consider the potential issues that are described above before Bill C-62 is passed into law.

For more information on the subject of this bulletin, please contact the authors:

Donald E. Milner 416 865 4411 dmilner@tor.fasken.com

R. Graham Phoenix 416 865 4511 gphoenix@tor.fasken.com

Our Insolvency and Restructuring Group

Toronto	416.065.4402	London	011 44 20 7017 0677
Baird, David E. dbaird@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4403	Jim Lisson jlisson@lon.fasken.com	011 44 20 7917 8677
Brotman, Stuart sbrotman@tor.fasken.com	416 865 5419	Québec City	
Holmstrom, Jon J. jholmstrom@tor.fasken.com	416 865 5125	Comeau, Mathieu pmbouchard@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2015
Hunter, Carole J. chunter@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4536	Makila, Gary gmakila@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2071
Kauffman, Aubrey akauffman@tor.fasken.com	416 868 3538	Morency, Jean G. jmorency@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2002
Lamek, Edmond F.B. elamek@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4506	Roy-Beaudoin, Jessica jroy@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2051
Levin, Jonathan A. jlevin@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4401	Roy, Valérie vroyy@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2086
Milner, Donald E. dmilner@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4411	Trépanier, Christian ctrepanier@qc.fasken.com	418 640 2011
Phoenix, R. Graham gphoenix@tor.fasken.com	416 865 4511	Vancouver	
Montréal		Campbell, Alison Z.A.C. azcampbell@van.fasken.com	604 631 4852
Béliveau, Luc lbeliveau@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 4336	Grieve, John F. jgrieve@van.fasken.com	604 631 4772
Deschamps, Isabelle ideschamps@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 5129	Jackson, Kibben kjackson@van.fasken.com	604 631 4786
Guérette, Serge sguerette@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 7461	Lewis, Brent blewis@van.fasken.com	604 631 4889
Lapierre, Stéphanie slapierre@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 5137	Millar, Robert A. rmillar@van.fasken.com	604 631 4928
Marchand, Valérie vmarchand@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 5239	Peerson, Marcel J. mpeerson@van.fasken.com	604 631 4771
Martis, Xeno C. xmartis@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 7509	Robertson, Kimberley krobertson@van.fasken.com	604 631 3244
Ménard, Eric emenard@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 4357	Calgary	
Morin, Luc lmorin@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 5121	de Waal, Rinus rdewaal@cgy.fasken.com	403 261 6155
Riendeau, Alain ariendeau@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 7678	Kotkas, Alex akotkas@cgy.fasken.com	403 261 5358
Yiannakis, Eleni eyiannakis@mtl.fasken.com	514 397 4367	Field, David H. dfield@cgy.fasken.com	403 261 5360
		Porteous, Suzanne M. sporteous@cgy.fasken.com	403 261 5363
		Rose, Gary grose@cgy.fasken.com	403 261 6159

This publication is intended to provide information to clients on recent developments in provincial, national and international law. Articles in this bulletin are not legal opinions and readers should not act on the basis of these articles without first consulting a lawyer who will provide analysis and advice on a specific matter. Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP is a limited liability partnership and includes law corporations.

© 2007 Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

Vancouver	Calgary	Toronto	Ottawa	Montréal	Québec City
604 631 3131	403 261 5350	416 366 8381	613 236 3882	514 397 7400	418 640 2000
info@van.fasken.com	info@cgy.fasken.com	info@tor.fasken.com	info@ott.fasken.com	info@mtl.fasken.com	info @qc.fasken.com
London 44 (0)20 7917 8500 info@fasken.co.uk	Johannesburg 27 11 685 0800 info@jnb.fasken.com	New York 212 935 3203 info@nyc.fasken.com			